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| Application | Location | Proposal | STC Decision | STC Comments | SC <br> Decision | SC Comments | Meeting Date |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 23 / 01284 / \mathrm{LBC} \\ & \& 23 / 01283 / \mathrm{FUL} \end{aligned}$ | 2 Severn Street, Shrewsbury, SY1 2JA | Internal re-configuration and new timber framed windows. Installation of new bi-folds doors, decking and pergola to rear. Removal of dwarf wall to the front and new off road parking space | Objection | The Town Council object to this application. The boundary wall to the front of the property contributes to the overall street scene in the area and removal to allow on-site parking would provide not only a detrimental impact to the street scene but would set an unwanted precedent to further parking. Council additionally seeks further detail on fenestration to understand the impact on this building but feels the parking issues so significant to merit outright refusal. | Grant permission | The proposal is not considered to result in the loss of significant historic fabric, nor will it harm the original character and appearance of the listed building. This is done through careful design and retaining and respecting the historical importance that this site holds in its surroundings. The proposals impact upon this heritage asset is considered minimal, amounting to minimal significant harm as a result | 25.04.2023 |
| 23/01597/FUL | 10 Westwood Drive, Shrewsbury, SY3 8YB | Revised and reduced scheme to provide first floor front extension, replacement of single storey conservatory with two storey bedroom extension and change tile hanging cladding to composite cladding | No Objection |  | Refuse | The proposal is not considered to be acceptable in terms of the impact on amenities, given its scale, to neighbouring properties and it is considered that the creation of a firstfloor extension to the principal elevation would be overbearing in its context to surrounding development and site context. | 16.05.2023 |

